

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas
Dear. Sir: <http://dolearchives.ku.edu>

Please vote against Title IV of H. R. 14765.
It merely endangers rights of ALL Americans
in attempting to protect some of them.
Our concern is for ALL and not passing laws
which jeopardize constitutional freedoms
of the entire population.

Sincerely,
Mr. and Mrs. Keith P. Pendergraft
Mr. and Mrs. Keith P. Pendergraft
5914 East Zimmerly
Wichita, Kansas 67218

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas

<http://dolearchives.ku.edu>



THIS SIDE OF CARD IS FOR ADDRESS



Rep. Robert Dole
House Office Build.
Washington, D. C. 20515

YOCKERS AGENCY, Realtors - Office Phone TAYlor 7-9369 (A. C. 913)

REAL ESTATE — LOANS — INSURANCE

Salina, Kansas 67401

RECEIVED

JUL 25 1966

BOB DOLE

July 21, 1966

The Honorable Robert Dole
House Office Building
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

This letter is being written to you concerning the Title IV of the proposed Civil Rights for 1966, (H. R. 14765).

As a citizen, I urge you to give this bill your deepest consideration and thought as to whether this bill is actually designed for the majority of the American people, or is it designed for a minority pressure group that would do away with some of our constitutional rights.

Not being quite as close to the proposed legislation as I probably should be, I am sure there are both good and bad points to it. However, on the surface it appears that this legislation would not only infringe on my rights as a home owner, but also on my rights as an independent businessman; putting me in a very embarrassing position, not only with my prospective home buyers, but also with my prospective home sellers.

The Federal Housing Administration and the Veteran's Administration both have requirements protecting these minority groups. This in itself has saddled many individuals with requirements that leave many wondering, "Where are my constitutional rights going that I must sell or rent my home to someone I do not want to sell or rent to, or have no desire to sell or rent to?"

Let us make no mistakes that our rights as individuals and as a majority are being infringed on or taken away altogether to satisfy the louder voice of a small group who has apparently frightened this nation's government into thinking that they must do anything requested of them in order to maintain the tranquility that the administration would like maintained.

It is with these thoughts in mind, Sir, that I again urge you to give this bill deep consideration and then vote to preserve some of our constitutional rights.

Respectfully yours,

E. W. Yockers
E. W. Yockers

EWY:st

CLAUDE M. MYERS

R. MAX MYERS

The Kansas Abstract & Investment Co.

LOANS -- INSURANCE -- REAL ESTATE

~~602 CENTRAL AVENUE~~
303 Military,
DODGE CITY, KANSAS

July 22, 1966.

Representative Bob Dole,
Congress of the United States,
House of Representatives,
Washington, D. C. 20515.

Dear Sir:

I wish to add my voice to the flood of protests you surely
must be receiving against Title IV of the Civil Rights Bill of 1966.

I should think the enactment of this legislation would be
opposed by any property owner regardless of his race, religion
or station in life, as an infringement of his Constitutional rights.

Sincerely,

Claude M. Myers.

Hutchinson Kansas,
8/3/66.

Mr. Robert Dole
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Dole;

RECEIVED

AUG 6 1966

BOB DOLE

RE: H.R. 14765
"Civil Rights Act
of 1966".
(Forced Housing Bill)

I am writing to you in regard to the so called "Civil Rights Act of 1966" H.R. 14765.

In the history of the human right to own property, certainly one of the prime characteristics of ownership is the right to dispose. If an individual lacks the right to dispose of his property freely and within his own discretion, he is not truly the owner. Thus, this human right of real property ownership depends upon freedom of contract in disposition.

"Under the facade of banning bias on the grounds of race, color, religion, or national origin, this bill would empower the federal government to force an owner to sell or rent his property to a person not of his choice, whether the property is his home, rental housing, a room for rent in his home or boarding house, or even land to be used as the site of housing.

"While the objective of open occupancy is praiseworthy, this proposed means of accomplishing it is one hundred per cent wrong, since true and lasting acceptance of neighbors by neighbors can be accomplished only by understanding and education fostered voluntarily by churches, schools, and all men of good will. It cannot be achieved by the federal government with its vast power wiping out freedom of choice and contract for all citizens under the guise of providing a new right for minority groups.

"The citizens feel strongly about this human right of real property ownership, and in every instance where they have had an opportunity to express their views at the polls they have decisively exhibited their attitude. In every referendum on the subject, forced housing has been overwhelmingly rejected.

I urge you to use and exert every effort to defeat the Administration proposal that would strip from you and thousands of home and property owners their traditional right to choose to whom they may sell or rent.

With best regards

Ralph Kearney

Ralph Kearney
18 East 6th St.
Hutchinson Kansas, 67501.

Wichita, Kansas. July 31 1966

Robert, Dole

U.S. Representative .U.S.

Dear Sir:

In regard to Title IV. of H.R. 15765 (3296)

I am bitterly opposed to this act.

This denies our Freedom. Why ?? Do we people have to submit to this, can't we have our rights or do we have to be told when and where we can act?

If this is Freedom we have lost it all.

I say let the Colored people have rights.

But if we American people have to submit to this our Freedom, is gone and gone Forever.

If we can't have a right to sell or , rent our property to who we choose, looks might y like this should be called to a screaming halt.

Please do something about this Situation.

Thank you
Sincerely

Robert
Payne
308 So Market apt 408
Wichita
Kans 67202

RECEIVED
AUG 4 1966
BOB DOLE

RECEIVED

AUG 1 1966

BOB DOLE

July 29, 1966
5206 E. Gilbert
Wichita 18, Kansas

Mr. Robert Dole
House Office Building
Washington, D. C.

Mr. Dole,

As private individuals, we sincerely urge you to vote against TITLE IV of H. R. 14765 in any form it may be presented. This law, even so amended, is a gross infringement of the few personal freedoms we as Americans have left.

Help us keep America great, AND
FREE!

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James D. Gillett

Wuenzel
2 Elmwood Terrace
Wayne, New Jersey

July 28, 1966
RECEIVED

AUG 1 1966

BOB DOLE

Representative Bob Dole
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Dole,

After reading the amendmant to Civil Rights Laws, H.R. 14765 we are still of the same opinion as before. We have discussed this with some of our neighbors and they express the same feelings. This country became great simply because a man had the right to work and live in freedom. This must apply to his right to sell his property as he sees fit, and if he chooses to discriminate then that is his right and he must be ready to take the judgement of God. We still feel that our Government, to be great must allow man to choose his own course of action as long as it does not physically harm another.

We are not for segregation in anything, yet feel that it cannot be legislated against but must come from love in a persons heart.

We would like to say that we are very pleased with ~~yt~~ the work you are doing and the way you are voting to keep America a God fearing nation and to keep each of us free. Thank you ~~weyr~~ very much.

Sincerely yours,

Mr. & Mrs. Everett Burrows

R. L. 2

Hugoton, Kansas
67951



National Council
STEUBEN SOCIETY OF AMERICA

369 LEXINGTON AVENUE - SUITE 2003

Between 40th and 41st Streets
NEW YORK, N. Y. 10017

TELEPHONE
AREA CODE 212
TN 7-1646

WARD LANGE, National Chairman
GEORGE STOTZ, National Secretary

July 29, 1966

Dear Congressman:

The National Council of the Steuben Society of America, an American society of citizens, wholly or in part of Germanic ancestry, holds serious misgivings about the Civil Rights Act of 1966 - (H.R.14765), now before your great body.

Since the majority of the members of the Steuben Society of America are home - and small property owners, we believe that our views are of value to you.

While we believe that the entire act is a dangerous piece of class-legislation we address ourselves at this time especially to Title 4 of the act.

It appears to us that this section is in serious encroachment upon the Constitutional guarantees concerning private ownership of property, the pacifying amendments notwithstanding.

We, therefore, urge you in all sincerity to remove Title 4 from the bill - or - failing that - to defeat H.R. 14765.

Your consideration of our views is very much appreciated and your comments are invited.

Respectfully and sincerely yours,

Ward Lange

Ward Lange
National Chairman

Edward J. Sussmann

Edward J. Sussmann
National Secretary

Duty, Justice, Charity and Tolerance!



July 29, 1966

Aims and Purposes of the Steuben Society of America

Loyally to support the Constitution of the United States of America by advocating the proper application of its provisions and inculcating the principles underlying true democratic government;

To quicken the spirit of sound Americanism and foster a patriotic American spirit among all citizens;

To aid in maintaining the independence and sovereignty of the United States of America and its freedom from all foreign influence;

To establish co-operation among its members in the exercise of their civic duties and to encourage among them an active participation in every phase of our national life;

To promote the welfare and enhance the happiness of its members and their fellowmen;

To perpetuate itself as a patriotic and fraternal voluntary membership organization and to provide for its government;

To guard our political liberty by maintaining an honest equality of citizenship regardless of the birth, origin or religion of any citizen;

To maintain the traditions of our country.

Respectfully and sincerely yours,

Edward J. Buschmann

Edward J. Buschmann
National Secretary

Ward Lange

Ward Lange
National Chairman

RECEIVED
JUL 30 1966
BOB DOLE

July 28, 1966

Dear Sir,

I am proud to have been born and raised in America, the land of the free and the home of the brave; with a government of the people, by the people, based on majority rule.

Today I see our government becoming a government by the government for the government for the benefit of a priveleged minority. This is rapidly becoming a reality because of Congressmen, Senators and Representatives of states, North and South, who are afraid to stand up and be counted and who have followed the liberal line to the left. We see this happening each day as laws are passed and decisions made by the Supreme Court which are detrimental to our free society and in favor of the Communist movement.

Communism must not be allowed to flourish in these United States. It is not an idea, but an international conspiracy. If it is not stopped and certain decisions made by the Supreme Court are not reversed, we will not long continue to be a free people.

The Communist has infiltrated the so-called Civil Rights movement and other related organizations. Now, in the guise of Civil Rights, we have an open housing bill coming up before Congress. This bill would affect millions and favor a minority. I ask for myself and all Americans a government of Representation, not Dictation. Please vote against the open housing bill.

Sincerely yours,

Edwin R. Underwood

EDWIN R. UNDERWOOD

5659 MERRILL RD.

JACKSONVILLE 11, FLA.

OPINION BALLOT

RECEIVED

JUL 26 1966

BOB DOLE

Subject: Should Congress pass the Civil Rights Act of 1966?

My dear Congressman:

AGAINST ITS PASSAGE: Hon. James F. Battin (R-Mont) says, "Mr. Speaker, sometime during this session of the Congress the members of the House will be called on to vote on a momentous issue. I refer to title IV of the Administration's Civil Rights bill (H.R. 14765) which would force a home-owner or other residential property owner to sell or rent his property to persons other than those of his choice. I doubt that I have ever read a bill which is so destructive of the right of private property ownership as is this one... In the final analysis we must recognize that bias or prejudice are spiritual defects which no secular law can cure..." (Cong. Rec. May 18, 1966 - page 10439)

Scott County Times of Forest, Miss. says, "This is my home. At least, I thought it was. I bought the land, I negotiated for a loan. I make payments every month. On this home I pay taxes. I can buy a horse, a cow, a bicycle, a TV set, a boat, or a set of golf clubs in the same manner, and I can give them away or sell them for a price, if I can find a buyer... Yet there are people in government and in certain pressure groups who demand that the sale of a home - the most sacred of all man's possessions - be controlled by law. They say he has no right to choose a buyer... Any man regardless of race or religion, deserves the right of choosing the successor who will occupy his homestead..." (Cong. Rec. June 1, 1966 - page A2985)

FOR ITS PASSAGE: Hon. W. D. Edwards (D-Cal) says, "Mr. Speaker, in my committee, the Committee on Judiciary, we are now debating a subject with which we have relatively little experience - open housing. In considering the new civil rights recommendations we must think about two things - first, what has the ghetto meant for the overwhelming majority of negroes, and second, what has been the experience of those areas which have been integrated. The first question has been answered for us in Watts. It is the uncertainties of the second question which makes it more difficult and controversial."

"The value of testimony by builders who have operated under fair housing policies is thus heightened... Mr. Wayne R. Brown, chairman of the board, (Brown & Kauffmann, Inc., Palo Alto, Cal.) has recently written Chairman Emanuel Celler to express their support of title IV of the new bill.. "Dear Sir: Our firm is the largest builder of higher priced homes in Northern California. We have constantly advocated and practiced open occupancy in housing and have actively supported "fair-housing" laws in the State of California. We urge you to lend your support to the speedy passage of title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1966. Sincerely, Wayne R. Brown." (Cong. Rec. June 9, 1966 - page A3128)

Here is my thinking I feel this law would give the government too much control in lives of individuals of all races. It gives property owners little right to refuse to sell or rent for causes other than those listed. A man should
(Put Additional Comments on Back Side) (over)

Name: Keith Grice Occupation: electrician & fur farming
(Please Print Or Write Clearly)

Address: RR 1 City: Wlysses State: Kansas

This ballot furnished by the National "Write Your Congressman" Club, Inc.

4411 N. Central Expressway

Dallas, Texas 75205

have the right to make a choice to whom he sells or rents according to their moral and social standing.

If they happened to be the wrong color the law would accuse the owner of racial discrimination. The owner would have great difficulty in proving other reasons. The activities in some of our larger cities might cause one to doubt the honesty, decency, moral and social standing of various people.

The law would not ^{change} one's heart by force.

Our respect for our fellowman is a spiritual thing. Our right relationship with God should teach us the proper way and attitudes toward our fellowman. I don't think that we need a law that takes away as much or more ~~than~~ than it gives.

Thank You.