

Capital as laid out by L'Enfant many years ago.

In spite of that, it is my hope that if the Senator is listening at this time, he will have a willingness to come to the floor and discuss with the leadership and me the possibility of bringing up this legislation today.

Mr. HEINZ. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. WARNER. I yield to the acting majority leader.

Mr. HEINZ. On behalf of the leadership and Senator DOLE specifically, I can say to the Senator from Virginia that it is the leadership's intention at an appropriate time later today to propound the unanimous-consent request that would permit consideration, and that any Senator who feels constrained to object to that unanimous-consent request, as is the right of any Senator, should be here on the floor.

It would be the majority leader's intention to specifically advise the office of any Senator who is known to be inclined to object to that unanimous-consent request.

Mr. WARNER. I thank the Senator. It is very important to the government of the District of Columbia because they are at this time competing for a baseball team. This transfer will enhance the likelihood of their having a more competitive position to obtain that team.

Mr. DOLE. Let me also indicate that it is my intention to deal with this early next year.

Mr. WARNER. It is hoped that we can deal with it today.

Mr. DOLE. That would be better yet.

Mr. HEINZ. The majority leader has indicated that it is his intention to have a unanimous-consent request at a very early hour this afternoon.

Mr. WARNER. I thank the Senator.

OBSERVERS TO ARMS REDUCTION CONTROL NEGOTIATIONS

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, there is one other matter we can deal with, the so-called Geneva resolution.

I sent to the desk, on behalf of myself and the distinguished minority leader, a resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The resolution will be stated by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 283) relating to the Bipartisan Group of Senators designated pursuant to S. Res. 19 and S. Res. 86, 99th Congress, to act as Observers to Arms Reduction or Control Negotiations.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the Senate will proceed to its immediate consideration.

The Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the resolution.

The resolution (S. Res. 283) was agreed to, as follows:

S. Res. 283

Resolved, That S. Res. 86, agreed to February 28, 1985, is amended as follows:

(a) Page 2, line 2 add: "The Chairman for Administrative purposes is the Senator from Alaska, Ted Stevens. The members of the Senate Observer Group shall include the Senator from Massachusetts, Edward Kennedy; the Senator from Wyoming, Malcolm Wallop; the Senator from New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan; the Senator from Virginia, John Warner; the Senator from Oklahoma, Don Nickles; the Senator from Tennessee, Al Gore; the Majority Leader, Robert Dole, *ex officio*; and the Minority Leader, Robert C. Byrd, *ex officio*. Only Senators appointed as members of the Group may participate in official travel and activities of the Group. In the event that the Majority Leader or Minority Leader does not travel on an official trip of the Observer Group, he may designate one other Senator not a member of the Group to travel and participate in the activities of the Group in his stead. Any vacancy occurring in the Senate Arms Control Observer Group shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made."

(b) Page 2, Line 11: After the period, insert "Payments made under this section for receptions, meals and food-related expenses shall be authorized, however, only for those actual expenses incurred by the Senate Observer Group in the course of conducting its official duties and functions; provided, that notwithstanding any other provision of this Resolution, such amounts received as reimbursement for such expenses shall not exceed \$6,000 in any fiscal year. Amounts received as reimbursement for such food expenses shall not be reported as income, and the expenses so reimbursed shall not be allowed as a deduction under Title 26 of the U.S. Code."

(c) The amendment made by subsection (a) is effective with respect to expenditures incurred on or after February 28, 1985.

Page 3, Line 9, add the following new section: "(d) All foreign travel of the Group shall be authorized solely by the Majority and Minority Leaders, upon the recommendation of the Administrative Chairman. Participation by staff members in authorized foreign travel by the Group, access to all official activities and functions of the Group during such travel, and access to all classified briefings and information made available to the Group during such travel, shall be limited exclusively to delegation members with appropriate clearances. No travel or other funding shall be authorized by any Committee of the Senate for the use of staff, other than delegation staff, in regard to above mentioned activities, without the written authorization of the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader to the Chairman of such Committee."

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the resolution was agreed to.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, let me indicate I believe we can work out this matter with reference to campaign finance reform and I hope we can do it very quickly so we can dispose of the low-level compact matter.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HEINZ. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HEINZ. Mr. President, earlier this afternoon we were discussing the parliamentary situation in the next session with respect to the Boren amendment which was pending on S. 655. I am going to propound a unanimous-consent request that has three significant components to it if it is agreed to.

The first is that it would set a date certain by which the Senate would consider the Boren amendment as an amendment to a suitable vehicle, such as S. 655.

In that context, the Senate would consider it for not more than 2 calendar days. There would be a division of time for debate equally divided, 6 hours of debate on each of the 2 days.

Amendments to the Boren amendment would be in order and the Boren amendment, which is not now debatable, would clearly be subject to debate.

That seems to me, Mr. President, to be a reasonable unanimous consent request because it allows the Senator from Oklahoma to have a date certain, an early date, in this case not later than June 1, 1986.

It allows him something he does not have now, which is the ability to speak on his amendment and for other people to join in such debate.

It permits the rest of the Senate the opportunity to offer perfecting amendments to his amendment, which was precluded under the previous consent.

It provides a great deal of debate time, 12 hours, almost the amount we used when considering reconciliation, which was 20 hours. It is almost two-thirds of that amount used on a much more complex measure.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT REQUEST

Having explained this, I ask unanimous consent that during the 2d session of the 99th Congress, the Senate for not more than 2 calendar days, turn to the consideration of the Boren "PAC" reform issue, to a suitable vehicle, prior to June 1, 1986, and it be considered under the following time limitation: 6 hours of debate on each of the 2 days, to be equally divided, in the usual form; and that following the conclusion or yielding back of time on the second day of floor consideration, that the Senate proceed to vote on disposition of the Boren amendment or bill, and if the issue is not disposed of, it be placed back on the calendar.

Mr. BOREN. Mr. President, the distinguished Senator from Pennsylvania and I have discussed this matter. The Senator from Oklahoma is perfectly willing to have debate on his amendment. Once the amendment is dis-